Using RIME in Clinical Teaching & Assessment

RITA D'AOUST, PHD, ACNP, ANP-BC,CNE, FAANP, FNAP, FAAN + HEATHER JOHNSON, DNP, FNP-BC, FAANP, LT COL, USAF (RET)* KRISTEN BROWN, DNP, CPNP-AC, CHSE+ CATHERINE LING, PHD, FNP, FAANP + DIANE C. SEIBERT, PHD, ARNP, FAANP, FAAN*

* Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences + Johns Hopkins School of Nursing

Objectives

Describe the RIME elements
 Identify challenges with clinical and simulation evaluation using the Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE)
 Apply the RIME model to clinical and simulation evaluation
 Integrate RIME into clinical courses and curriculum

Goals

 Create objective standards to assess development of clinical competency
 Identify at-risk students

The Challenges

► Nationally:

- Clinical competency of new NP graduates
- Rapid expansion of online programs
- Evaluation Tools: poor sensitivity/specificity
- Improving inter-rater reliability (Faculty / SP/ Student)
- Quantifying student performance
- Establishing baseline / measuring development of clinical across courses/time

Overarching Faculty Goals

- Create valid, reliable assessment tools for simulated and direct clinical learning environments to
 - Support students in achieving clinical competency
 - Support faculty in documenting that students have achieved required competencies



RIME Model

Reporter
Interpreter
Manager
Educator/Evaluator

RIME includes Professional behaviors

Pangaro, 1999

RIME Model

Distinguishes between basic & advanced performance.

- Synthesis of skills, knowledge and attitudes
- Establishes clinical indicators & standardizes evaluation vocabulary
 - Can be used in simulation and live clinical environments
- Faculty can map student progress across the curriculum
 Interrater reliability
- Structure for team-based care (Team OSCEs (TOSCE)
 Curricular and clinical application of RIME (handout)

Reporter

Is the learner a reliable and competent reporter?
 Interviewing skills
 Physical Examination skills
 Written Documentation
 Oral case presentations

Interpreter

Can the learner interpret and prioritize data?
 Problem Prioritization
 Differential Diagnosis formation
 Clinical data (Hx, PE, Labs)

Manager

Can the learner manage: Individual patients Multiple providers/allied health professionals Multiple patients (team) ► Formulate reasonable plans Demonstrate Risk/Benefit Decision making ▶ Is the learner proficient (i.e. procedures)? Does the learner incorporate patient values?

Educator/Evaluator

Self-directed Learning Skills Good response to Feedback Critical Reading Skills Teaching Skills with patients/families including anticipatory guidance, team members, staff Anticipates/identifies intended result & adjusts plans accordingly

Blueprint & integration

Professional	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 6	Level 7
Growth	AHAM	Adv Dx	Dx Reas 1	Dx Reas 2	Dx Reas 3	Dx Reas 4	Dx Reas 5
Reporter	*	Р	Р	М			

Aspect of professional growth	Level1 Adv Health	Level 2 Adv Dx	Level 3 Dx Rea 1	Level 4 Dx Rea 2	Level 5 Dx Rea 3	Level 6 Dx Rea 4	Level 7 Dx Rea 5
REPORTER	I *	Р	Р	M*			
Interviewing	I	Р	Р	М	M+		
Physical Examination	I	Р	Р	М	M+		
Written H&Ps	I	Р	Р	М	M+		
Oral case presentations	I	Р	Р	М	M+		
Reliability, Responsibility	I	Р	Р	М	M+		
Respect for patient's values	I	Р	Р	М	M+		
INTERPRETER		Ι	Р	М	M+		
Problem Lists	I	Р	М	М	M+		
Differential Diagnosis		I	Р	М	M+		
 Interpreting basic EKG, Labs 		Ι	Р	М	M+		
Interpreting advanced studies		I	Р	Р	Р	м	M+
MANAGER			I	Р	М	M+	
Diagnostic Plans			L	Р	М	M+	
Therapeutic Plans			L	Р	М	M+	
Benefit/Risk Decision making			I	Р			
Basic Procedures (IVs, etc.)		Ι	Р	Р	м	M+	
Advanced Procedures					I	Р	М
 Incorporates Patient Values in Plan 		I	I	Р	М	M+	
System-based Practice			I	Р	М	м	M+
Interprofessional Practice			I	Р	м		M+
EDUCATOR							
Reflective, self-directed	I	Р	Р	М			
Learning Critical Reading Skills			I	Р	м	M+	
Practice-based learning & Improvement			I	Р	М	M+	
Teaching Skills			I	P	м	M+	
Identifies anticipated results				I	Р	м	M+
Evaluates response to Tx & adjusts				I	Р	М	M+

Faculty Development Results

► Insights

▶ Feedback

Next Steps



Average Rating (1-5, 5 highest rating)



Student Outcomes

Quantitative Evaluation

Qualitative Feedback



Next Steps

RIME OSCE Fall 2018 Results

	OSCE 1	OSCE 2	OSCE 3
Problem Solving			
 Independent problem solving was facilitated 	4.46	4.5	4.8
 Simulation was designed for my specific level of knowledge 	4.44	4.5	4.5
 Simulation allowed me the opportunity to prioritize nursing assessment and care 	4.68	4.6	4.6
Feedback/guided reflection			
 Feedback provided was constructive 	4.9	4.4	4.7
 Opportunity after the simulation to obtain guidance/feedback from the teacher in order to build knowledge to another level 	5.0	4.8	4.8
Fidelity			
 Scenario resembled a real-life situation 	4.44	4.2	4.6
 Real life factors, situations, and variables were built into the simulation scenario 	4.75	4.2	4.5

Questions? Comments?



References

Ling, C., Fuller, A., Taylor, L., & Johnson, H. (2018, March). Triangulation of multifactorial assessment: Bringing objectivity to objective structured clinical examination evaluation. *Clinical Simulation in Nursing*, 16, 40-47. <u>https://doi.</u>

Pangaro, L. (1999). A new vocabulary and other innovations for improving descriptive in-training evaluations. Academic Medicine : Journal of the Association of American Medical Colleges, 74(11), 1203–7. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10587681

Pangaro, L. N. (2000). Investing in descriptive evaluation: a vision for the future of assessment. *Medical Teacher*, 22(5), 478–481. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159005011074org/10.1016/j.ecns.2017.10.009</u>.

The Rhythm of **RIME**

