Assessing the Impact of a Social Justice-Based Course In Graduate Nursing Education

Daniel Crawford, DNP, RN, CPNP-PC, CNE Diana Jacobson, PhD, RN, PPCNP-BC, PMHS, FAANP Marianne McCarthy, PhD, RN, FAAN



objectives

- 1. Describe the impetus and creation of a social justice-based course in graduate nursing education.
- 2. Analyze qualitative and quantitative data collected in relation to the course.
- 3. Discuss outcomes and recommendations based on implementation of a social justice-based course in graduate nursing education.



social justice in nursing

Social justice has long been a value of nursing.

- Many historical nurses have been active proponents of social justice.

Despite this, social justice has become poorly defined in nursing and unclear in many educational settings.

- The ANA has recommended that nurse educators integrate social justice as a "guiding principle" in nursing education (ANA, 2016).



The Lady With the Lamp by Henrietta Rae



creating a social justice-based course

- Theory of Planned Behavior guided development (Azjen, 1991).
 - Beyond knowledge; influencing attitudes, subjective norms and perceived behavioral control.
- Course content influenced by multiple disciplines.
- Course divided into four broad categories
 - Historical & theoretical foundations
 - Social determinants of health
 - Health effects of social determinants
 - Topics related to promoting health equity



learning outcomes

- Compare and contrast theoretical foundations of social justice and health equity.
- Evaluate specific social determinants of health and their relationship to health outcomes.
- Demonstrate effective use of technology for the purpose of increasing awareness and influencing change with social injustices.
- Create an innovative plan to address social injustices and promote heath equity.
- Develop individual values and attitudes related to social justice based upon historical and theoretical perspectives.
- Analyze factors that influence the development and success of interventions that target structural violence.
- Demonstrate how social justice can guide the graduate prepared nurse in the professional or practice setting.



course assignments

- Social justice surveys
- Microblogging
- Group discussions
- Theoretical foundations paper
- Innovative intervention project
- Course reflection



methods

Institutional review board approval obtained

- No demographic information collected
- Participants
 - 40 graduate students enrolled in two consecutive semesters of a Social Justice course which is offered as a hybrid online 15 week DNP elective
- Pre- and Post Test Design
 - SPSS Version 25

Social Justice Attitudes Scale (Torres-Harding, S.R., Siers, B., & Olson, B. (2012)

- 4 subscales
- Total items
- Likert scale—1=Strongly disagree; 7=Strongly agree



results

Subscales	T1 Mean (SD)	T2 Mean (SD)	t-test	df	Sig. (2 tailed)
Social Justice Attitudes	72.3(6.84)	75.39(2.91)	3.187	40	.003*
Perceived Behavioral Control Around Social Justice	29.97(3.76)	32.95(2.26)	6.855	40	.000*
Subjective Norms Around Social Justice	18.90(4.91)	20.36(5.09)	1.889	40	.066
Intentions to Engage in Social Justice	24.83 (3.03)	27.02(1.79)	5.216	40	.000*
Total Scale	146.07(13.43)	155.73(8.63)	5.971	40	.000*



Arizona State University

qualitative inquiry & findings

- Dimensional analysis (DA) method (Schatzman, 1986) was used to further evaluate the course's influence on students' attitudes, perceived behavioral control, subjective norms, and behavioral intentions regarding social justice.
- DA is an alternative approach to developing grounded theory as originally conceived by Glaser & Strauss (1967).
- Its product is a theory that depicts some shared social phenomenon.



qualitative data

- Course Reflection Papers written by 41 graduate students enrolled in two consecutive semesters of a 15 week Social Justice course.
- Both cohorts of students received the same assignment instructions:
 - During the final week of the course, students will write a reflection about their experience in this course that should include discussion related to their values and attitudes toward social justice, perspectives on health equity, and their view of the graduate-prepared nurse's role in addressing social injustices. There is no length requirement or rubric criteria for this assignment as each student will have a different experience to share.
- No student demographic or other biographical data were collected.



participants

- First cohort
 - First time offered
 - Free elective
 - Ten 3rd year DNP graduate students
- Second cohort
 - Second time offered
 - Selective course
 - Thirty-one 1st and 3rd year DNP graduate students



qualitative data analysis findings

- Support quantitative results indicating an increased endorsement of social justice values, goals and behaviors among students.
- Are consistent with quantitative findings, and demonstrate students' intentions to engage social action endeavors in the future.
- Surpass quantitative results indicating that students found the course to be transformational.
 - Personally
 - Academically
 - Professionally



personally transforming

- Life changing
 - Values
 - Views
 - Ambitions
- Expanding awareness, sensitivity, empathy
- Challenging previously held beliefs and convictions
- Correcting misperceptions
- Igniting passion
- Increasing confidence
- Eliciting strong emotional reactions stress, anxiety, guilt



academically transforming

- View that Social Justice course should be foundational, required, and interdisciplinary
- Discovery of new theories and theorists to incorporate into future course work and learning.



professionally transforming

- Increased awareness, empathy, and sensitivity towards vulnerable populations.
- Increased collaboration with other health professionals to influence policy decisions.
- Convinced about the use of social media to advocate for vulnerable people and as a strategy to facilitate activism.
- Increased advocacy



cohort comparisons

- Key perspective, that the course was transformational, collectively and commonly expressed by both cohorts.
- Noticeable differences observed between the cohort responses.
 - 1st Cohort members did not express any negative reflections. Unanimously used strong language to describe course influence and to make recommendations for future consideration.
 - Course chosen as elective
 - Placement in program of study all were in 3rd year
 - Course conducted over a 15 week period during the Fall 2015 semester.
 - 2nd Cohort members expressed cynicism, guilt, anger, denial, helplessness, depression, anxiety, and increased levels of stress. Were critical and sometimes wary of the use of social media.
 - Limited choice between two selectives
 - Placement in program of study variable
 - Course taken over a 15 week period that coincided with the 2016 Presidential campaign and election.



future recommendations

- Compare 1st and 3rd year students who completed the course to see if it had impact on DNP project, subsequent academic performance, employment.
- Identify concept threads, theorists, and authors that should be interwoven throughout all specialty didactic and clinical courses.
- Decide if course should be foundational, required, interdisciplinary, etc.
- Establish an academic trajectory focused on health equity.
- Consider adopting tenets of social justice as central and foundational to the vision and mission of the College of Nursing and Health Innovation.



references

American Nurses Association. (2016). *The nurse's role in ethics and human rights: Protecting individual worth, dignity, and human rights in practice settings.* Silver Spring, MD: Author.

Azjen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 50, 179-211.

Glaser, B. G., & Strauss, A. L. (1967). *The discovery of grounded theory strategies for qualitative research*. New York: Aldine.

Schatzman, L. (1986). *The structure of qualitative analysis.* Paper presented at the World Congress of Sociology: International Sociology Conference, Delhi, India.

Torres-Harding, S. R., Siers, B., & Olson, B. D. (2012). Development and psychometric evaluation of the social justice scale. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, *50*, 77-88. doi: 10.1007/s10464-011-9478-2



Thank you! Questions?

daniel.j.crawford@asu.edu

