

PEDAGOGY-FIRST INSTRUCTIONAL DESIGN FOR INCLUSIVE LEARNING IN HEALTH PROFESSIONS EDUCATION

Caitlyn Zang, PhD

Edson College of Nursing and Health Innovation, Arizona State University

EDUCATIONAL TENSIONS & INSTRUCTIONAL GAPS

FACULTY & INSTRUCTION



- Limited pedagogical preparation
- Traditional instructional approaches
- AI uncertainty and instructional hesitation
- Limited data-informed instructional systems

STUDENT LEARNING NEEDS



- Increased anxiety and cognitive load
- Digital and on-demand learning environments
- AI-supported learning behaviors
- Need for flexibility, clarity, and relevance

AI & INSTRUCTIONAL SYSTEMS



- Students increasingly use AI for support and efficiency
- Faculty uncertainty regarding AI integration and academic integrity
- Limited data-informed systems for identifying and responding to learning patterns



These tensions highlight the need for pedagogy-first instructional systems that better align teaching practices with contemporary student learning needs.

PEDAGOGICAL RESPONSE FRAMEWORKS



Inclusive Pedagogy
Supports equity, belonging, accessibility, and learner-centered teaching



Fink's Significant Learning
Connects learning to meaning, application, and long-term relevance



Interactive, Constructive, Active, Passive (ICAP) Framework
Supports deeper engagement through active and applied learning



Classroom Assessment Techniques (CATs)
Provides low-stakes feedback and instructional adjustment



Small Teaching
Supports sustainable instructional improvement through small instructional changes

WHAT THIS ADDRESSES

- Cognitive overload and student anxiety
- Passive and disengaged learning
- Unclear expectations and learning pathways
- Deficit-based interpretations of student performance
- Limited instructional responsiveness to student learning needs
- Fragmented instructional support systems

METHODOLOGICAL LENS



Interpretive Description (Thorne et al., 1997)

FOCUS

- Practice-informed understanding
- Interpretation of instructional patterns
- Responsive educational refinement
- Real-world instructional contexts
- Applied educational knowledge generation

WHY INTERPRETIVE DESCRIPTION?

- Focuses on applied educational problems
- Prioritizes practice-informed understanding over theory generation
- Supports interpretation within complex instructional environments

THIS METHODOLOGY SUPPORTS

- Interpretation of student learning patterns
- Context-informed instructional decision-making
- Responsive teaching adjustments
- Iterative instructional refinement
- Development of practical educational supports

INSTRUCTIONAL FEEDBACK & SUPPORT SYSTEM

1



STUDENT LEARNING DATA Quizzes + Assignments

- Identify patterns in:
- Student understanding
 - Misconceptions
 - Reasoning
 - Engagement

2



INSTRUCTIONAL FEEDBACK REPORTS

- Highlights
- Learning gaps
 - Recurring misconceptions
 - Engagement patterns
 - Opportunities for instructional adjustment

3



CANVAS FACULTY SUPPORT COURSE

- Core Modules
- Inclusive Pedagogy
 - Fink's Significant Learning
 - ICAP
 - CATs
 - Small Teaching

- Adaptive Supports
- Targeted teaching strategies
 - Instructional resources
 - Responsive supports

4



RESPONSIVE TEACHING ADJUSTMENTS

- Examples
- Clarifying expectations
 - Increasing active learning
 - Low-stakes formative feedback
 - Addressing misconceptions
 - Strengthening inclusive teaching practices

5



IMPROVED STUDENT LEARNING SUPPORT

- Outcomes
- Increased engagement
 - Greater instructional responsiveness
 - More inclusive learning environments
 - Better alignment between teaching and student learning needs

REFERENCES

- Angelo, T. A., & Cross, K. P. (1993). Classroom assessment techniques: A handbook for college teachers (2nd ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Arizona State University. Edson College of Nursing and Health Innovation. (2026). Academic program review self-study report: 2019-2026.
- Chen, C., & Lee, K. (2023). The AI generation gap: Are Gen Z students more interested in adopting generative AI such as ChatGPT in teaching and learning than their Gen X and millennial generation teachers? *Smart Learning Environments*, 10, 1-23.
- Chi, M. T. H., & Wiley, R. (2014). The ICAP framework: Linking cognitive engagement to active learning outcomes. *Educational Psychologist*, 49(4), 219-242.
- Chenita, K., Shellenbarger, T., & Chica, J. (2020). Generation Z Students in the Online Environment: Strategies for Nurse Educators. *Nurse Educator*.
- Darby, F., & Lang, J. M. (2019). Small teaching online: Applying learning science in online classes. *Jossey-Bass*.
- Fink, L. D. (2013). Creating significant learning experiences: An integrated approach to designing college courses (2nd ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Huss, J. (2023). Gen Z Students Are Filling Our Online Classrooms: Do Our Teaching Methods Need a Reboot? *InSight: A Journal of Scholarly Teaching*.
- Lang, J. M. (2021). Small teaching: Everyday lessons from the science of learning (2nd ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Sharma, P., Chhabra, L., Arya, I., & Kaur, R. (2025). Bridging the gap: Nursing faculty's perceptions and challenges in teaching generation Z students. *Journal of Integrative Nursing*, 7(2), 171-178.
- Thorne, S., Kalham, S. R., & MacDonald-Gimes, J. (1997). Interpretive description: A nonquantitative qualitative alternative for developing nursing knowledge. *Research in Nursing & Health*, 20(2), 169-177.
- Van Schalkwyk, S. C., Hafler, J., Brewer, T. F., et al. (2019). Transformative learning as pedagogy for the health professions: A scoping review. *Medical Education*, 53(6), 547-558.
- Yosso, T. J. (2005). Whose culture has capital? A critical race theory discussion of community cultural wealth. *Race Ethnicity and Education*, 8(1), 69-91.

MOVING FROM REACTIVE TEACHING TOWARD RESPONSIVE, PEDAGOGY-FIRST INSTRUCTIONAL DESIGN

IMPLEMENTATION PHASES

1

- BUILD THE CORE CANVAS COURSE**
- Shared pedagogical foundation
 - Evidence-based teaching frameworks
 - Inclusive instructional design

2

- LEARNING DATA INTEGRATION**
- Quizzes + assignments
 - Instructional feedback reports
 - Student learning patterns

3

- RESPONSIVE INSTRUCTIONAL SUPPORT**
- Targeted teaching strategies
 - Adaptive instructional resources
 - Faculty instructional adjustments

4

- EXPANSION & INTEGRATION**
- Upper-division integration
 - Pre-licensure collaboration
 - Scalable instructional support system

Iterative, pedagogy-first instructional refinement informed by real-time student learning patterns

EVALUATION & INTERPRETIVE INSIGHTS



- FACULTY LEARNING**
- Engagement with Canvas resources
 - Instructional awareness
 - Teaching adjustments
 - Reflective feedback



- STUDENT LEARNING**
- Learning gaps & misconceptions
 - Engagement patterns
 - Assessment performance trends
 - Areas requiring instructional support



- SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT**
- Adaptive resource development
 - Cross-program collaboration
 - Scalability of instructional supports
 - Stakeholder feedback



- INTERPRETIVE INSIGHTS**
- How faculty interpret learning patterns
 - How instructional strategies adapt over time
 - Alignment between teaching and student learning needs

EDUCATIONAL IMPACT



- Scalable instructional support
- Responsive faculty development
- Inclusive learning environments
- Pedagogy-first AI integration

References

- Angelo, T. A., & Cross, K. P. (1993). *Classroom assessment techniques: A handbook for college teachers* (2nd ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Arizona State University, Edson College of Nursing and Health Innovation. (2026). *Academic program review self-study report: 2019–2026*.
- Chan, C., & Lee, K. (2023). The AI generation gap: Are Gen Z students more interested in adopting generative AI such as ChatGPT in teaching and learning than their Gen X and millennial generation teachers?. *Smart Learning Environments*, 10, 1-23. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-023-00269-3>.
- Chi, M. T. H., & Wylie, R. (2014). The ICAP framework: Linking cognitive engagement to active learning outcomes. *Educational Psychologist*, 49(4), 219–243. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2014.965823>
- Chunta, K., Shellenbarger, T., & Chicca, J. (2020). Generation Z Students in the Online Environment: Strategies for Nurse Educators. *Nurse Educator*. <https://doi.org/10.1097/nne.0000000000000872>.
- Darby, F., & Lang, J. M. (2019). *Small teaching online: Applying learning science in online classes*. Jossey-Bass.
- Fink, L. D. (2013). *Creating significant learning experiences: An integrated approach to designing college courses* (2nd ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Huss, J. (2023). Gen Z Students Are Filling Our Online Classrooms: Do Our Teaching Methods Need a Reboot?. *InSight: A Journal of Scholarly Teaching*. <https://doi.org/10.46504/18202306hu>.
- Gochenouer, D., Rickgarn, H., & Huang, L. (2025). Preferred Teaching Delivery Methods for Generation Z. *Journal of Higher Education Theory and Practice*. <https://doi.org/10.33423/jhetp.v24i12.7467>.
- Lang, J. M. (2021). *Small teaching: Everyday lessons from the science of learning* (2nd ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Sharma, P., Chadha, L., Arya, I., & Kaur, R. (2025). Bridging the gap: Nursing faculty's perceptions and challenges in teaching generation Z students. *Journal of Integrative Nursing*, 7(3), 171–178. https://doi.org/10.4103/jin.jin_35_25
- Thorne, S., Kirkham, S. R., & MacDonald-Emes, J. (1997). Interpretive description: A noncategorical qualitative alternative for developing nursing knowledge. *Research in Nursing & Health*, 20(2), 169–177. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1098-240X\(199704\)20:2<169::AID-NUR9>3.0.CO;2-I](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-240X(199704)20:2<169::AID-NUR9>3.0.CO;2-I)
- Van Schalkwyk, S. C., Hafler, J., Brewer, T. F., Maley, M. A., Margolis, C., McNamee, L., Meyer, B., Scherpbier, A. J. J. A., & Teunissen, P. W. (2019). Transformative learning as pedagogy for the health professions: A scoping review. *Medical Education*, 53(6), 547–558. <https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.13804>
- Yosso, T. J. (2005). Whose culture has capital? A critical race theory discussion of community cultural wealth. *Race Ethnicity and Education*, 8(1), 69–91. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1361332052000341006>



PEDAGOGY-FIRST INSTRUCTIONAL DESIGN FOR INCLUSIVE LEARNING IN HEALTH PROFESSIONS EDUCATION

Caitlyn Zang, PhD

Biography

Caitlyn Zang, PhD, is a Clinical Assistant Professor and Associate Director of Baccalaureate Health Programs at Arizona State University. She joined the ASU community in 2016 and transitioned into a faculty role in 2023. She holds a PhD in Higher Education from Azusa Pacific University. Her research centers on student success, sexual assault prevention, fraternity and sorority life, and women's leadership.

Dr. Zang brings over 15 years of experience in higher education, spanning Student Affairs, academic program development, and strategic planning. Her work focuses on faculty development, curriculum design, and cross-functional collaboration, with an emphasis on improving student retention and advancing the success of diverse student populations.

Her teaching is grounded in active, constructivist pedagogy and informed by culturally responsive practices and Universal Design for Learning (UDL). She designs learning environments that prioritize real-time application, relational engagement, and accessibility for diverse and neurodivergent learners. Her approach emphasizes meaning-making through interaction, using applied examples and structured engagement to help students navigate complex material.

Committed to building inclusive and engaging academic environments, Dr. Zang's work bridges curricular and co-curricular spaces to support the success of both faculty and students while contributing to broader institutional effectiveness.

Contact Information



Caitlyn Zang, PhD
Clinical Assistant Professor & Associate Program Director
Baccalaureate Health Programs
Arizona State University
caitlyn.zang@asu.edu